

Re: For Amicus filers, a SCOTUS case as important as Brunson case

From Robert Thomas III <ncs51legal@yahoo.com>
To Stanley Bolten <stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>
Date 2023-01-06 16:53

Sorry for my tardy response.

I have heard the Supremes accepted the Brunson case. What did they do with yours? I can't seem to find original source information that makes me confident about what the Supremes have/are doing.

Sincerely,

Robert Thomas

On Friday, January 6, 2023 at 01:49:41 PM PST, Robert Thomas III <ncs51legal@yahoo.com> wrote:

Can anyone tell me what the below-E-Mail is really all about? and, who is "Stanley Bolten"? Thanks, RT

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Stanley Bolten <stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>
To: "carguy341@yahoo.com" <carguy341@yahoo.com>; "ncs51legal@yahoo.com" <ncs51legal@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2022 at 01:40:22 PM PST
Subject: Re: For Amicus filers, a SCOTUS case as important as Brunson case

Please let me know if you have received my email. It is very important as conference is 8 days away. Thanks

On 2022-12-29 15:41, Stanley Bolten wrote:

Robert Ellsworth Thomas III,

I've noticed you filed an Amicus brief in the Raland Brunson case in the Supreme Court. About the whole national security issue here. There is another case just as disturbing which endangers national security. If John Roberts tries to throw out the Brunson case then this Supreme Court case is relevant to you as well as the Brunson brothers. Judges and a justice are being allegedly blackmailed with Jeffrey Epstein tapes into making bad decisions against those against the Deep State Swamp. Statements of this alleged blackmail scheme in the pending SCOTUS case from a licensed attorney who made such statements almost two years ago. He still has not been disbarred or sued for defamation. So his statements are at issue in a current Supreme Court case.

Briefly, there is a ongoing case in SCOTUS and two filings have been made asking the chief justice to recuse himself for allegations of blackmail. The chief justice has refused to recuse himself repeatedly. This case concerns the issue of an attorney alleging publicly the existence of blackmail tapes concerning judges and officials, and the petitioner asking for a special master to review over the alleged blackmail tapes.

Here is the link and documents not listed publicly but also in the SCOTUS case files are attached.

<https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-6123.html>

This will be reviewed at conference on the same date as the Raland Brunson case, on January 6, 2023.

Thanks,
Stanley