

In the United States District Court  
For the Middle District of North Carolina

<b>Brian David Hill,</b>	)	
<b>Petitioner/Defendant</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1</b>
	)	
<b>United States of America,</b>	)	<b>Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036</b>
<b>Respondent/Plaintiff</b>	)	
	)	
	)	

**DECLARATION OF ROBERTA HILL IN SUPPORT OF “PETITIONER’S  
AND CRIMINAL DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO CORRECT OR MODIFY  
THE RECORD PURUANT TO APPELLATE RULE 10(e)”**

I, Roberta Hill, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

I, Roberta Hill, was present at the hearing on September 12, 2019, and I did testify for the defendant. There were omissions of a few testimonies on the transcript for that hearing. I remember hearing the attorney Ms. Pryor ask officer Jones if Brian Hill was being obscene on the night of September 21<sup>st</sup> and he replied that he wasn’t obscene. Another question that wasn’t in the transcript was when Ms. Pryor asked officer Jones what was in the backpack and he replied that there was a camera, clothes and a watch.

This is what I believe was omitted from the Transcript that should have been part of that transcript.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

*Roberta Hill*

Signed  
Roberta Hill  
310 Forest Street, Apt. 1  
Martinsville Virginia 24112

In the United States District Court  
For the Middle District of North Carolina

**Brian David Hill,** )  
**Petitioner/Defendant** )  
 )  
**v.** ) **Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1**  
 ) **Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036**  
**United States of America,** )  
**Respondent/Plaintiff** )  
 )  
 )

**DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL IN SUPPORT OF  
“PETITIONER’S AND CRIMINAL DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO  
CORRECT OR MODIFY THE RECORD PURUANT TO APPELLATE  
RULE 10(e)”**

I, Brian David Hill, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

I was at the hearing on September 12, 2019, at the Winston-Salem Federal Courthouse, Courtroom 2, and had been present at that hearing while I, Brian David Hill had been present with Renorda Pryor (Attorney) for the case of the Supervised Release Violation.

I had remembered things that were mentioned verbally at the hearing that had not been inside of the transcript file “09-12-19 USA v. Brian D. Hill.pdf” produced by Court Reporter named Briana Bell. I had concerns about this and had produced this federal affidavit on what I believed was omitted on the record by error.

This is what I believe was omitted from the Transcript that should have been part of that transcript:

(1) The Assistant U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy had made a statement or had asked witness Roberta Hill about “Wikipedia” in response to her testimony about “carbon monoxide” in regards to her testimony explaining her research into carbon monoxide, and as to why she thought Brian had exhibited the weird behavior on September 21, 2018. The mentioning of “Wikipedia” by Anand Prakash Ramaswamy was omitted from the Transcript. At least I believe that it was Anand Prakash Ramaswamy as I do not see Renorda Pryor trying to mention the term “Wikipedia” in regards to my mother’s claim about carbon monoxide and things she had researched on the internet. I did see her research about carbon monoxide, she had printed PDF files for me in regards to the National Institute of Health and the other articles including one from the Centers for Disease Control. That is why I still remember the words quite clearly about hearing an attorney mention the word “Wikipedia” or something like “it was probably found on Wikipedia” or “it was probably from Wikipedia” or something to that affect. From the Transcript however, the Judge mentioned about “Wikipedia” with no source of that word “Wikipedia” in the Transcript. Shows that the word “Wikipedia” was mentioned by somebody at the hearing/proceeding on the record but I believe it was erroneously omitted from the official transcript. The Judge said on page 58 of that Transcript: *“THE COURT: I mean, it's not -- it's scientific evidence, and there's no indication she's qualified to -- I don't even know what her source was, whether it was Wikipedia or what have you”*. Where did the judge get his opinion about “Wikipedia” in regards to Roberta Hill when it was not mentioned in the Transcript in any other page? I believe anybody who mentioned about the word “Wikipedia” or any comment of “came from Wikipedia” or anything to that affect

came before “The Court” made that comment about “*whether it was Wikipedia or what have you*”.

I was also worried that the part of the transcript that I believe was omitted from that particular record, was about the comment about Wikipedia. I was so angry at Ramaswamy over the “Wikipedia” comment that I had made two written statements about that at an earlier time which of course would be my earlier testimony about my viewpoint and response against what I had felt like was that Anand Prakash Ramaswamy had called all of my mother’s hard work and research with me being present and witnessing the PDF file printouts of the credible research articles on carbon monoxide poisoning being demeaned as simply sourced from “Wikipedia”.

Citing Document #195, Filed 09/30/19, Page 3 of 4:

*“The transcripts still have not yet been furnished by the Court Reporter of that hearing, but Assistant United States Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy (“Ramaswamy”) had made a verbal claim at that Final Revocation Hearing that the claimed Carbon Monoxide research was found on Wikipedia on the internet in an attempt to discredit both Roberta Hill (the witness) and Brian David Hill (the Defendant). Exhibit 3 under Document #181-4, was material that was researched by family and was cited from the National Institute of Health, a federal government organization of the United States. If you had noticed the little text in Case 1:13-cr-00435-TDS Document 195 Filed 09/30/19 Page 3 of 20 that exhibit, it says “...ncbi-nlm.nih.gov...” which is a federal government domain name. It also cites reliable sources such as “Kent Olson, MD, FACEP, FACMT, FACCT, Medical Director and Clinical Professor of Medicine & Pharmacy# and Craig Smollin, MD, Assistant Medical Director and Clinical Professor of Medicine”. That was not from “Wikipedia” as Ramaswamy had claimed at the SRV revocation hearing. The Judge would have had access to that document (Document #181-4) and didn’t care to correct Ramaswamy on the fact that none of the research materials presented in Document #181 and attachments were from this alleged “Wikipedia”. That was a lie/falsehood by Ramaswamy and the judge knew that was a lie but he had decided to defend or protect Ramaswamy and ignore the testimony of both Roberta Hill and United States Probation Officer*

*Jason McMurray (“McMurray”) to give Defendant the maximum punishment for this revocation. Exhibit 6 from Document #181-7 was sourced from the Centers for Disease Control (“CDC”) which is also a well-respected federal government agency that deals with public health and safety. So Ramaswamy was attempting to demean these federal agencies as calling them collectively, “Wikipedia” type of source when he had questioned witness Roberta Hill on the stand in an attempt to discredit Brian David Hill’s claims and Roberta Hill to make them out to be either incompetent, not credible, or liars.”*

Cited from Document #199, Filed 10/04/2019, Page 23 of 26:

*“2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2, is a true and correct photocopy of a printout of “Autism Spectrum Disorder Fact Sheet”; Prepared by: Office of Communications and Public Liaison, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, MD 20892. “All NINDS-prepared information is in the public domain and may be freely copied. Credit to the NINDS or the NIH is appreciated.” This did not come from Wikipedia. Total of 9 pages.”*

It is ironic that after I had filed two different pleadings with my statements about “Wikipedia” as I was upset about that comment at that hearing and felt that the research from my family of credible sources was all demeaned to simply being sources of this alleged “Wikipedia”.

I do also remember Officer Robert Jones talking about a backpack, a watch (or a pocket watch), flashlights, and clothes in my backpack.

The last thing I remembered with my own eyes and ears from the hearing, I was right beside Renorda Pryor at the defense table, and she clearly asked the Government’s witness “Robert Jones” about if I was being obscene at the time and the witness responding by saying no or I don’t think he was. I thought that was really important as I knew that was said verbally at that hearing and I know Renorda had brought up that question. Even before the hearing, I, Roberta Hill, Stella Forinash, and Kenneth Forinash were all meeting to discuss the case in the

federal courthouse building and we mentioned about questions Renorda could ask the Officer and one of them was about whether or not I was obscene. So I am aware that Renorda was going to ask that question in the courtroom and that I had saw the words come out of her mouth when she had asked the witness Officer Sergeant Robert Jones, as to if he thought I was being obscene at that time and he didn't think that I was being obscene. I know this from my own personal knowledge to the best of my abilities.

I wish that had come in the transcript, and I am disappointed to remember my own Attorney asking that question but the question and answer was omitted from the transcript file from Briana Bell.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 6, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

  
*Signed* Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)  
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1  
Martinsville, Virginia 24112  
Phone #: (276) 790-3505

**U.S.W.G.O.**

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter  
I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

In the United States District Court  
For the Middle District of North Carolina

**Brian David Hill,** )  
**Petitioner/Defendant** )  
 )  
**v.** ) **Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1**  
 ) **Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036**  
**United States of America,** )  
**Respondent/Plaintiff** )  
 )  
 )

**DECLARATION OF STELLA FORINASH IN SUPPORT OF  
“PETITIONER’S AND CRIMINAL DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO  
CORRECT OR MODIFY THE RECORD PURUANT TO APPELLATE  
RULE 10(e)”**

I, Stella Forinash, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

I was at the hearing on September 12, 2019, at the Winston-Salem Federal Courthouse, Courtroom 2, and had been present at that hearing while Brian David Hill had been present with Renorda Pryor (Attorney) for the case of the Supervised Release Violation.

I had remembered things that were mentioned verbally at the hearing that had not been inside of the transcript file “09-12-19 USA v. Brian D. Hill.pdf” produced by Court Reporter named Briana Bell. I had concerns about this and had produced this federal affidavit on what I believed was omitted on the record by error.



This is what I believe was omitted from the Transcript that should have been part of that transcript:

Attorney Pryor asked Sgt. Jones **if Brian was being obscene. His answer was “No”.** Attorney Pryor asked **Sgt. Jones what was in the backpack, and his answer was something like “camera, watch & his clothes”.** We talked about this on the way home that day, and after we got home, I took notes and sent my notes in PDF format to one of our friends in email on 9/14/2019. We think it’s important to have everything that is in the transcript, and so we **paid \$388** to get an accurate copy as soon as possible. This copy was sent to our daughter’s email. When she sent an email back asking for corrections, this was denied. We paid for a double spaced 81 page transcript to be emailed to us within 7 days, and some very important information is left out that all 4 in our family remember that was said in court on September 12, 2019. Another part that was left out of this transcript was when my daughter, Roberta testified about the carbon monoxide and how Brian was acting & feeling after being exposed to this in Brian’s apartment for almost a year. She was talking about USA government documents explaining to Americans about the dangers of carbon monoxide gas exposure short term & long term health effects, and Brian filed these documents to the court and is on pacer. Check these document for proof: **Case 1:13-cr-00435-TDS Document 181 Filed 07/22/19 Page 1-10 of 10; Document 181-4 Filed 07/22/19 Page 1-6 of 6; Document 181-5 Filed 07/22/19 Page 1-3 of 3; Document 181-6 Filed 07/22/19 Page 1-5 of 5** and more. It was left out of the transcripts where **Anand Ramaswamy said something like she probably got the information about carbon monoxide from Wikipedia.** It’s real important to correct these 3 items which should be on the original transcript. Thank you.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 6, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

*Stella B Forinash*

Signed  
Stella Forinash

Brian's court case yesterday

Video Speed



**Ken & Stella** · kennstella2007@yahoo.com  
To: Eric S. Clark  
Cc: Roberta Hill  
Bcc: Stella Burnette Forinash

Sep 14 at 10:03 AM

Hi Eric,

Sorry we haven't been keeping in touch, but I want to thank you for everything you are and have done to help Brian with his court cases. I typed something up tonight about yesterday's trial and wanted to share this with you & Roberta. I'm putting it in PDF file from what happened yesterday. We're all looking forward to seeing the transcripts. After reading it, if you have time, we would appreciate your feedback on it.

Thanks,

Stella & Ken (Brian's grandparents)



Brian's Meritville, VA case

Meritville Police testimony in federal court in NC

1. Police said he got a search warrant to look at Brian's camera. If true, Brian wants to see a copy of this.
2. When Brian's attorney asked if Brian told him he could look at the camera & MP read with pictures, the answer was "yes"
3. The policeman said that Brian told him that the man in the hoodie gave him the camera, yet the court showed these with pictures and a copy of the monthly report he gave to the probation officer
4. In the next breath, Brian told the family that he did not tell the policeman that because it was the camera.
5. Police made it look like Brian was standing in front of Walmart when he opened the boxes.
6. Brian's attorney said that in the photo, it looked like Brian was far away from Walmart on the walking trail behind River & Myrtles Restaurant, then saw a child walking until 6:30. If someone is on the trail, it is hidden from the main road. Photos show lighted to show signs, but no one can see someone on the private trail day or night.
7. Police showed a ~~cell~~ close photos of cars parked near the trail. Witnesses (8/3 11/11) if a dark, he should have used a phone of that parking lot at night which he admitted only he, Brian and another policeman were the only ones on the trail.
8. Prosecuting attorney was saying something about Brian being on the Dick & Willie Trail which is a park where children go. Would children be on the trail from midnight to 3 AM? When Brian's attorney asked if the police saw anyone else on the trail, the answer was "no". The fact is that the Dick & Willie Trail is not a park. It is a hiking or bike riding trail that is 4.3 miles. There is no other enjoyment of it on the walking trail.
9. Brian's attorney asked if Brian was obstruct. The answer was "no".
10. The attorney asked the police if Brian told him that he had "witness". Answer was "no". Question Brian's family would like answered is: Did he give Brian the Miranda Rights? Their question was: "No question" "Yes Brian told me the walking trail."

<https://www.uscourts.gov/record-and-transcript-access-and-availability>

## Brian's court case yesterday

From: Ken & Stella (kenstella2007@yahoo.com)

To: eric@whitestone[REDACTED]

Cc: rbhill67@yahoo.com

Bcc: kenstella@comcast.net

Date: Saturday, September 14, 2019, 12:03 AM EDT

Hi Eric,

Sorry we haven't been keeping in touch, but I want to thank you for everything you are and have done to help Brian with his court cases. I typed something up tonight about yesterday's trial and wanted to share this with you & Roberta. I'm putting it in PDF file from what happened yesterday. We're all looking forward to seeing the transcripts. After reading it, if you have time, we would appreciate your feedback on it.

Thanks,

Stella & Ken (Brian's grandparents)



Brian's Martinsville case in the federal court in NC.pdf  
704.8kB

In the United States District Court  
For the Middle District of North Carolina

**Brian David Hill,  
Petitioner/Defendant**

**v.**

**United States of America,  
Respondent/Plaintiff**

)  
)  
)  
) **Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1**  
)  
) **Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036**  
)  
)  
)  
)

**DECLARATION OF KENNETH FORINASH IN SUPPORT OF  
“PETITIONER’S AND CRIMINAL DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO  
CORRECT OR MODIFY THE RECORD PURUANT TO APPELLATE  
RULE 10(e)”**

I, Kenneth Forinash, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

I was at the hearing on September 12, 2019, at the Winston-Salem Federal Courthouse, Courtroom 2, and had been present at that hearing while Brian David Hill had been present with Renorda Pryor (Attorney) for the case of the Supervised Release Violation.

I had remembered things that were mentioned verbally at the hearing that had not been inside of the transcript file “09-12-19 USA v. Brian D. Hill.pdf” produced by Court Reporter named Briana Bell. I had concerns about this and had produced this federal affidavit on what I believed was omitted on the record by error.

This is what I believe was omitted from the Transcript that should have been part of that transcript:

Brian's attorney, Renorda Pryor, asked Officer Robert Jones if Brian was obscene, and his answer was that he was not.

She asked the officer what Brian had in his backpack and he said he had a camera, a watch and clothes.

When Mr. Ramaswamy questioned Roberta Hill he mentioned that she may have gotten the information about Carbon Monoxide exposure from Wikipedia.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 6, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Signed  
Kenneth R. Forinash, TSgt, USAF, Ret